Integrating Normative-Theological and Sufi-Spiritual Approaches in Contemporary Islamic Studies: A Critical Methodological Analysis

Nurhadi Ahmad[1], Yuharniza[1], Moh. Arief Hidayat[1], Sri Wahyuni[1], Rosniati Hakim[1]

[1]UM Sumatera Barat, Indonesia

✉nurhadiahmad30@gmail.com *

Abstract

Islamic Studies as an academic discipline faces methodological challenges in responding to the complexity of contemporary realities. This article critically examines two dominant approaches in Islamic Studies, namely the normative-theological approach and the Sufi-spiritual approach. The normative-theological approach emphasizes textual authority through classical Islamic scholarly methodologies, while the Sufi-spiritual approach focuses on inner experience and spiritual transformation. This study employs a qualitative method using content analysis of the perspectives of eight Islamic Studies scholars published between 2022 and 2023. The findings reveal methodological polarization between the two approaches, while also identifying an emerging integrative trend that seeks to bridge the tension between textual authority and spiritual experience. This article argues that the development of an integration–interconnection paradigm constitutes a significant epistemological contribution to contemporary Islamic Studies. The findings have implications for the development of integrative curricula, dialogical methodologies, and the strengthening of a more holistic Islamic epistemology.

INTRODUCTION

The development of Islamic Studies over the past few decades has demonstrated increasingly complex methodological dynamics, alongside growing demands to respond to social, cultural, and intellectual transformations in the contemporary era (García & Sierra, 2021; Paine, 2023). Islamic Studies is no longer understood merely as a normative religious inquiry, but rather as an academic discipline that engages with diverse scholarly approaches and historical contexts. This condition necessitates continuous methodological reflection in order to ensure the relevance and analytical reach of Islamic scholarship in addressing contemporary realities (Abdullah, 2023; Rufai, 2016; Solihah et al., 2025).

Within academic discourse, one of the most prominent debates concerns the use of methodological approaches in understanding Islam. Two approaches that are frequently positioned dichotomously are the normative-theological approach and the Sufi-spiritual approach (Pallawagau et al., 2025; Uddin & Irshad, 2022). This debate reflects not only differences in analytical techniques but also divergent

epistemological orientations in interpreting sources, authority, and the objectives of Islamic knowledge.

The normative-theological approach is deeply rooted in the classical Islamic scholarly tradition, which places the Quran and Hadith as the primary sources of knowledge, supported by methodologies developed through disciplines such as Quranic exegesis, jurisprudence (*fiqh*), legal theory (*usul al-fiqh*), and Hadith studies (Bilalu et al., 2022; Fikri et al., 2024). This approach plays a crucial role in maintaining the continuity of tradition, normative stability, and religious authority. However, in certain contexts, it is often perceived as tending toward legal formalism and as being less responsive to subjective experience and complex social dynamics (Alexander & Block, 2022; Cooke et al., 2022).

By contrast, the Sufi-spiritual approach emphasizes the inner dimensions of Islam, focusing on religious experience, spiritual transformation, and individual moral refinement (Trimulyaningsih et al., 2024; Uddin & Irshad, 2022). This approach emerged as a response to tendencies of religious formalism that are considered to overlook ethical and spiritual dimensions. While offering depth of meaning and sensitivity to religious experience, the Sufi-spiritual approach has also faced criticism, particularly regarding its potential subjectivity and its limitations in constructing a systematic normative framework.

The tension between the normative-theological and Sufi-spiritual approaches fundamentally reflects a core dialectic within the Islamic intellectual tradition between *sharia* as a normative–formal framework and *haqiqa* as an esoteric and spiritual dimension (Elmahjub, 2021; Mahatta et al., 2024). In contemporary academic practice, this dichotomy often results in methodological polarization that hinders the development of a comprehensive and integrative understanding of Islam. Consequently, efforts to reconcile these two approaches have become an urgent necessity in the advancement of modern Islamic Studies.

Against this backdrop, this article aims to critically analyze the dynamics and tensions between the normative-theological and Sufi-spiritual approaches in contemporary Islamic Studies, while exploring the possibilities for methodological integration between them. By examining the perspectives of contemporary Islamic Studies scholars, this study seeks to formulate an integration–interconnection paradigm capable of bridging textual and spiritual dimensions. This approach is expected to contribute to the strengthening of a more holistic Islamic epistemology that is responsive to the challenges of the present era.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research design using a content analysis approach. Data were collected through systematic review and analysis of primary and secondary sources relevant to the research topic (Engkizar et al., 2023; Hamuddin et al., 2020; Kara, 2023; Pohontsch, 2019). The main data sources consist of books, peer-reviewed journals, academic articles, and other scholarly publications discussing normative-theological and Sufi-spiritual approaches in Islamic Studies, with a particular focus on works published between 2022 and 2023.

Data analysis was conducted through content analysis combined with a comparative analytical technique. First, the key characteristics of each approach were identified and categorized. Second, scholarly perspectives were examined and classified into three groups: proponents of the normative-theological approach, proponents of the Sufi-spiritual approach, and proponents of an integrative approach combining both perspectives. Third, a synthesis of these viewpoints was undertaken to identify recurring patterns, conceptual gaps, and potential pathways for methodological integration (Yiangou, 2022).

Data validity was ensured through source triangulation by comparing information across different types of scholarly sources to achieve a comprehensive and balanced understanding. This study adopts a descriptive–analytical orientation, with an emphasis on conceptual development and the formulation of methodological recommendations.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Characteristics and Development of Approaches in Islamic Studies

The analysis indicates that the normative-theological approach in Islamic Studies has a strong historical foundation within the classical Islamic scholarly tradition. This approach developed alongside the codification of Islamic sciences between the 2nd and 4th centuries Hijri and positions the Quran and Hadith as the primary sources of religious knowledge (Salam et al., 2024; Wahyuni et al., 2023). Its methodology encompasses disciplines such as tafsir, Hadith studies, usul fiqh, fiqh, and kalam, serving as a systematic framework to maintain consistency in interpretation and the authority of Islamic teachings. A review of recent literature shows that this historical foundation continues to influence the methodological orientations of contemporary scholars, particularly in efforts to preserve the authenticity and normative stability of Islamic knowledge (Muttaqin, 2021; Ritonga et al., 2024; Satria & Huda, 2024).

In contrast, the Sufi-spiritual approach emerged as a response to the limitations of the legal-formal approach in addressing the spiritual and existential needs of the Muslim community. Its roots can be traced to the spiritual practices of early Islamic generations, which later developed into a distinct scholarly tradition with its own methodology. The Sufi-spiritual approach emphasizes direct experience (*dzauq*), purification of the soul (*tazkiyat al-nafs*), and intuitive knowledge (*ma'rifah*) as pathways to understanding the deeper meaning of Islamic teachings. In contemporary Islamic Studies, this approach is seen as providing interpretive depth and transformative dimensions that are often beyond the reach of normative analyses alone (Imron, 2018; Irawan, 2022; Yiangou, 2022).

Patterns of Scholarly Perspectives in Islamic Studies

Content analysis of the views of eight Islamic Studies scholars from 2022–2023 identified three main patterns of perspective: the normative-theological approach, the Sufi-spiritual approach, and the integrative approach. The first group emphasizes the authority of texts and classical methodology as the epistemological foundation of Islamic Studies. Their perspective underscores that without a strong normative framework, Islamic scholarship risks falling into interpretive relativism. Findings indicate that the normative-theological approach is valued for providing a clear validation system and maintaining the continuity of Islamic scholarly traditions (Amiruddin et al., 2021; Busral et al., 2025; Hairani & Putri, 2024; Satria & Huda, 2024).

The second group regards the Sufi-spiritual approach as a primary means of understanding Islam in a more existential and transformative manner. Analysis shows that this approach is seen as bridging the gap between religious teachings and the spiritual needs of modern individuals (Adib, 2022; Engkizar et al., 2025; Wahyuni et al., 2023; Yiangou, 2022). Nevertheless, the reviewed literature also indicates a critical awareness among proponents regarding the potential subjectivity and limitations of this approach in constructing a systematic normative framework.

The third group reflects an integrative tendency aimed at addressing the limitations of both previous approaches. The analysis reveals that scholars in this group critique textualism that neglects socio-historical contexts while also cautioning against extreme subjectivity in the spiritual approach. In response, methodological

integration has been proposed, emphasizing productive dialogue between textual and spiritual dimensions within a more inclusive Islamic epistemological framework.

Potential and Directions for Methodological Integration

Comparative analysis shows that the normative-theological and Sufi-spiritual approaches are fundamentally complementary rather than contradictory. The normative approach provides structural frameworks and objective parameters for understanding Islamic teachings, while the spiritual approach contributes depth of religious experience and transformative insight. Integrating these approaches allows for a more holistic and balanced understanding of Islam (Amiruddin et al., 2021; Munira et al., 2024).

The interconnection-integration paradigm emerging in contemporary discourse emphasizes the importance of viewing Islam as a multidimensional body of knowledge open to inter-approach dialogue. Analysis indicates that this model offers a middle ground between academic rigor and spiritual depth. In the context of contemporary issues such as Islamic ecology, integrating the two approaches yields a more comprehensive understanding: the normative approach provides theological and legal foundations for environmental preservation, while the spiritual approach cultivates cosmic awareness and moral responsibility of humans as stewards (*khalifah*) of the earth (Munira et al., 2024; Salam et al., 2024; Satria & Huda, 2024).

CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis, it can be concluded that the normative-theological and Sufi-spiritual approaches in Islamic Studies possess distinct methodological characteristics yet are fundamentally complementary. The former emphasizes textual authority and classical methodologies, while the latter prioritizes spiritual experience and inner transformation. Analysis of scholarly perspectives reveals both a polarization of views and the emergence of integrative trends, with proponents of each approach presenting strong arguments grounded in their respective methodological strengths, and integrative thinkers proposing synthesis models to address the limitations of each. Consequently, methodological integration between these approaches is not only feasible but essential for the development of a holistic Islamic Studies framework. Paradigms such as interconnection-integration and models of the spirituality provide relevant conceptual tools to advance Islamic scholarship that is both epistemologically rigorous and responsive to contemporary challenges.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, A. B. (2023). Re-Envisioning Islamic Scholarship: Maqasid Methodology as A New Approach. *Journal of Contemporary Maqasid Studies*, *2*(2), 103–108. https://doi.org/10.52100/jcms.v2i2.117

Adib, M. A. (2022). Upaya Mendialogiskan Pendekatan Normatif dan Historis dalam Studi Islam: Konsep Integrasi-interkoneksi Amin Abdullah. *Al-Tarbawi Al-Haditsah: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, *7*(2), 87. https://doi.org/10.24235/tarbawi.v7i2.11665

Alexander, S., & Block, P. (2022). Integration of seasonal precipitation forecast information into local-level agricultural decision-making using an agent-based model to support community adaptation. *Climate Risk Management*, *36*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2022.100417

Amiruddin, A., Qorib, M., & Zailani, Z. (2021). A study of the role of Islamic spirituality in happiness of Muslim citizens. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, *77*(4). https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v77i4.6655

Bilalu, N., Jamal, R., Harun, N., & Subeitan, S. M. (2022). Compilation of Islamic Law as Judge's Consideration at a Religious Court in North Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Samarah*, *6*(2), 514–536. https://doi.org/10.22373/sjhk.v6i2.12441

Busral, B., Rambe, K. F., Gunawan, R., Jaafar, A., Habibi, U. A., & Engkizar, E. (2025).

Lived da'wah: Temporal structuring of religious practice in Tabligh jamaat's daily congregation. *Jurnal Ilmu Dakwah*, *45*(2), 377–398. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21580/jid.v45.2.28479

Cooke, F. L., Dickmann, M., & Parry, E. (2022). Building sustainable societies through human-centred human resource management: emerging issues and research opportunities. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *33*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2021.2021732

Elmahjub, E. (2021). Islamic Jurisprudence as an Ethical Discourse: An Enquiry into the Nature of Moral Reasoning in Islamic Legal Theory. *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*, *10*(1), 16–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/ojlr/rwaa023

Engkizar, E., Jaafar, A., Masuwd, M. A., Rahman, I., Datres, D., Taufan, M., Akmal, F., Dasrizal, D., Oktavia, G., Yusrial, Y., & Febriani, A. (2025). Challenges and Steps in Living Quran and Hadith Research: An Introduction. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research of Higher Education (IJMURHICA*, *8*(3), 426–435. https://doi.org/10.24036/ijmurhica.v8i3.396

Engkizar, E., Jaafar, A., Taufan, M., Rahman, I., Oktavia, G., & Guspita, R. (2023). Quran Teacher: Future Profession or Devotion to the Ummah? *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research of Higher Education (IJMURHICA)*, *6*(4), 196–210. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24036/ijmurhica.v6i4.321

Fikri, M., Abbas, Muchtar, M. I., Darlius, & Al-Amin, D. (2024). Emergence of Digital Matrimony: Exploring Islamic Legal Responses to Metaverse Marriages. *Journal of Islamic Thought and Civilization*, *14*(2), 246–262. https://doi.org/10.32350/jitc.142.15

García, C. G., & Sierra, U. J. M. (2021). Urban megaprojects in Latin American metropolis: Cases of study in the context of neoliberal policies. *Investigaciones Geograficas*, *106*. https://doi.org/10.14350/rig.60464

Hairani, E., & Putri, E. M. (2024). Peran Ilmu Kalam dalam Memperkuat Spiritualitas Umat Islam. *Al-Qalam: Jurnal Kajian Islam Dan Pendidikan*, *16*(1), 170–177. https://doi.org/10.47435/al-qalam.v16i1.2975

Hamuddin, B., Rahman, F., Pammu, A., Sanusi Baso, Y., & Derin, T. (2020). Selecting Content Analysis Approach in Social Networking Sites: What's the Best for Cyberbullying Studies? *Indonesian Research Journal in Education |IRJE|*, 347–364. https://doi.org/10.22437/irje.v4i2.10202

Imron, A. (2018). Tasawuf dan Problem Psikologi Modern. *Jurnal Pemikiran Keislaman*, *29*(1), 23–35. https://doi.org/10.33367/tribakti.v29i1.561

Irawan, A. S. (2022). MaqaShid al-Sharīah Jasser Auda Sebagai Kajian Alternatif Terhadap Permasalahan Kontemporean. *The Indonesian Journal of Islamic Law and Civil Law*, *3*(1), 39–55. https://doi.org/10.51675/jaksya.v3i1.192

Kara, H. (2023). Qualitative data analysis. In *Research and Evaluation for Busy Students and Practitioners* (An expande, pp. 187–202). Policy Press. https://doi.org/10.51952/9781447366263.ch012

Mahatta, A., Irfan AD, M., Bahar, M., & Firdaus, F. (2024). Penerapan Lafaz Haqiqah Dan Majaz Terhadap Makna Perkawinan Dalam Islam. *Al-Rasīkh: Jurnal Hukum Islam*, *13*(1), 13–26. https://doi.org/10.38073/rasikh.v13i1.1680

Munira, M., Dewi Indriani, & Andzala Cahyani. (2024). Ḍawābiṭ Fatwa dalam Merespons Problematika Fikih Kontemporer. *AL-QIBLAH: Jurnal Studi Islam Dan Bahasa Arab*, *3*(6), 978–989. https://doi.org/10.36701/qiblah.v3i6.1812

Muttaqin, A. (2021). From Contextual to Actual Approach: Towards a Paradigm Shift in Interpreting the Qur'an. *Mutawatir : Jurnal Keilmuan Tafsir Hadith*, *11*(2), 203–230. https://doi.org/10.15642/mutawatir.2021.11.2.203-230

Paine, J. (2023). Dynamic supply chains with endogenous dispositions. *System Dynamics Review*, *39*(1), 32–63. https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.1725

Pallawagau, B., Masuwd, M., Alsayd, A. D., Albshkar, H. A., & Alzletni, N. S. (2025). Nasruddin Umar's Love Curriculum And Its Relation To Arabic Language Teaching: Socio-Linguistic And Semantic Studies/ منهج الحب عند نصر الدين عمر وعلاقته بتعليم اللغة العربية: دراسات اجتماعية لغوية ودلالية. *Ijaz Arabi Journal of Arabic Learning*, *8*(2). https://doi.org/10.18860/ijazarabi.v8i2.32264

Pohontsch, N. J. (2019). Qualitative Content Analysis. *Rehabilitation (Germany)*, *58*(6), 413–418. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-0801-5465

Ritonga, R., Ritonga, A. R., & ... (2024). Studi Kritik terhadap Penelitian Tasawuf, Spiritualitas, dan Kalam. *Lisyabab : Jurnal Studi Islam Dan Sosial*, *8*(1), 89–110. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.58326/jurnallisyabab.v5i1.274

Rufai, S. A. (2016). The Challenge of Curriculum Integration for Islamic Universities: Setting the Principles of Curriculum Integration. *IIUM Journal of Educational Studies*, *4*(1), 46–77. https://doi.org/10.31436/ijes.v4i1.84

Salam, M. A., AN, A. N., Rhain, A., Azizah, A., & ... (2024). Challenges of Da'wah Research: Understanding Da'wah Models in The Context of Qur'anic Guidance and Social Change. *Al-Afkar, Journal For Islamic Studies*, *7*(3), 1120–1141. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31943/afkarjournal.v7i3.1604

Satria, N. A., & Huda, S. (2024). Eko-Spiritualitas Dalam Pemikiran Sayed Husein Nashr. In *Al-Hikmah: Jurnal Studi Agama-Agama* (Vol. 10, Issue 1). Universitas Brawijaya Press. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.30651/ah.v10i2.23446

Solihah, I., Isma Hisbullah Aljauhany, Khaibar Akmaluddin, Ahmad Mustafidin, Safa Alrumayh, & Alfian Eko Rochmawan. (2025). Integrating al-qur'an, hadith, and science in islamic education: Tracing scientific insights. *Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Dan Sains Islam Interdisipliner*, 123–131. https://doi.org/10.59944/jipsi.v4i3.453

Trimulyaningsih, N., Subandi, M. A., & Yuniarti, K. W. (2024). The process of spiritual transformatioto attain Nafs al-muṭmaʾinnah in Islamic psychology. *HTS Teologiese Studies / Theological Studies*, *80*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v80i1.8526

Uddin, F., & Irshad, E. (2022). Sufism, Religiosity, Life Satisfaction, Hope and Optimism: A Comparative Study of the Disciples and Non-Disciples. *International Journal of Psychological and Behavioural Research (Ijpbr)*, *2*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.37605/ijpbr.v2i1.11

Wahyuni, N. S., Hidayatuloh, A. A., Hasan, M., Komarudin, O., & Nurkholiq, A. (2023). Menelusuri Perspektif Kontemporer Dalam Metodologi Studi Islam Antara Tradisi dan Transformasi. *Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia: Teori, Penelitian Dan Inovasi*, *5*(1), 6. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.59818/jpi.v5i1.1328

Yiangou, N. (2022). Transpersonal dimensions in Islamic spirituality. *The International Journal of Transpersonal Studies*, *38*(2), 448–450. https://doi.org/10.24972/ijts.2019.38.2.53